UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,770	03/30/2004	Kazuhiko Matsumoto	36609	4956
116 PEARNE & G	7590 12/27/2007 ORDON LLP		EXAMINER	
1801 EAST 9T	1801 EAST 9TH STREET		HAJNIK, DANIEL F	
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND	, OH 44114-3108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CEE VEE II VE	, 011 1111 5100		2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Reexamination	
	10/812,770	MATSUMOTO, KAZUHIKO	
		Art Unit	
	Daniel Hajnik	2628	

Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC

of the notice of appeal, as applicable.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is i	n response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed <u>11/2/07</u> .
_	mproper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following con(s):
	 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: .
	time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from

2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date

∑ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-4 and 6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.
4. Teopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

All participants:

(1) <u>Ulka Chauhan.</u>

ULKA CHAUHAN (3) <u>Daniel Hajnik.</u>

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(2) <u>Reinhard Eisenzopf.</u>

(4)____.